Recognition of distorted DNA structures by HMG domains

Andrew Travers

Recent biochemical and structural studies have shown that the preferential recognition of distorted DNA structures, including DNA bulges, four-way junctions and cis-platinated DNA, by HMG domains is dependent on residues immediately preceding the second or helix of the L-shaped HMG domain.

Introduction

HMG DNA-binding domains both bend DNA substantially and bind to distorted DNA structures, such as four-way junctions, with high affinity. These domains are subdivided into two major classes — the sequence-specific domains found in transcription factors and the non-sequence-specific domains found in abundant chromosomal proteins. The latter are further subdivided into A and B domains. The differences in selectivity between the sequence-specific and non-sequence-specific domains can be ascribed to a few residues, whereas the determinants of the recognition of distorted DNA structures are located in a short region between helices I and II of the HMG domain.

The high-mobility group (HMG) domain is a DNA-binding motif that occurs both in sequence-specific transcription factors, including LEF-1 and SRY, and in abundant chromosomal proteins typified by the vertebrate transcription factors, including LEF-1 and SRY, and in NHP6A and NHP6B, which bind DNA with little sequence specificity. The global fold of this domain is well conserved and consists of three or no sequence specificity. The general features of the described HMG domain–DNA complexes are highly conserved. In B-type domain complexes, the DNA-binding face of the domain presents a hydrophobic surface that conforms to a wide and shallow minor groove. In the centre of the surface, a hydrophobic
Recognition of distorted DNA structures by HMG domains

Travers

A wedge is inserted deep into the minor groove of the DNA. Within this wedge, one residue (methionine in LEF-1 [5], NHP6A [17••] and HMG-D [18•]) partially intercalates between two base pairs (Figure 2).

With the exception of one model [15], in which Leu9 and Met13 partially and alternatively intercalate into successive base steps, the components of this wedge are conserved, comprising residues 9, 12, 13 and 43. The

Figure 1

Schematic structures of HMG domain proteins of the HMG1/2 class from different organisms. The structure of the transcription factor LEF-1 is shown for comparison.
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Figure 2

(a) Sequences of different HMG domains showing conserved residues. The numbering of the residues is by homology and corresponds to that used in the text.

(b) Cylindrical projections of protein–DNA contacts made by (i) LEF-1 with its consensus binding site [5], (ii) NHP6A with the SRY DNA binding site [17••], (iii) HMG-D with bulged DNA [18•] and (iv) domain A of HMG1 with cis-platinated DNA [14••]. The amino acids are positioned on the basis of proximity. Underlined residues indicate water-mediated contacts and mc indicates a mainchain contact. The contacts shown in (ii) and (iii) are mainly inferred from modelling.

LEF-1: KRPHEIPIKKEPNKPLNPAVAV...-KLAQILNKDFLRTQGS...LEQNSAGVYFRS
HMG-D: MSDKPIKRPPLSAVRM...LNRSLR...-VTYVAKKIKLQ...-KOSGRKRSARAKGDSTQAVRP
NHP6A: HYTFKPEXRKKDPIPVRLAKAAQVPPFAGKLV...QYQVQLR...EIKHAADK焦急EEFLEK
HMG1 A box: FQDHWTVVQCTKEEREKPOAYHPFEFEGCLESRTQVGEQGDHELQUASADAKAASERYMNTY
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hydrophobic surface is flanked by a set of conserved basic residues that bind to the phosphodiester backbones and stabilise the complex. The interactions of the A domain of HMG1, as exemplified by its complex with cis-platinated DNA, are similar but, in this case, the domain lacks the intercalating residue at position 13 and consequently does not fit as deeply into the minor groove [14••] (Figure 3).

What is the basis of the distinction between sequence-specific and non-sequence-specific binding? At position 10, asparagine is wholly conserved among the known sequence-specific HMG domains, whereas in the HMG domains of HMG1 and its counterparts, this residue is normally serine (with one exception, dSSRP1, in which it is threonine) [20]. The striking correlation between the nature of this residue and the sequence specificity of the domain has been previously noted [21]. In the LEF-1–DNA and SRY–DNA complexes, Asn10 makes tripartite polar contacts with the C–G and A–T base pairs preceding the site of intercalation of Met13 and Ile13, respectively [5,7]. The sidechain of Ser10 is shorter and, as modelled [17••,18••], could, in principle, make direct contacts to a pyrimidine base or indirect contacts to a purine through water-mediated hydrogen bonds. Such contacts, in contrast to those made by Asn10, which should specify the CpA step in the SRY-binding site, would be expected to be essentially sequence-independent and thus account for the lack of sequence specificity of this class of HMG domain. Nevertheless, HMG-D has been reported to show a preference for binding to sequences that contain a TpG/CpA base step [22]. Interestingly, when binding to the same DNA sequence as one tested for SRY-specific binding, NHP6A is modelled to intercalate via Met13 at a TyG/CpA step, rather than at the adjacent ApA/TyT step (into which Ile13 of SRY intercalates) and also binds in the opposite orientation to SRY [7,17••]. Although NHP6A and HMG-D are completely homologous over the relevant region of the domain (residues 9–14: LSAYML), the basis for this moderate selectivity is uncertain. One possibility is that, for NHP6A and HMG-D, complex formation is initiated at a prebent YpR step, which the methionine sidechain could easily penetrate [17••].

A second region in which the sequence-specific and the non-sequence-specific domains differ is the helix I–II loop immediately before helix II. In the sequence-specific domains, residue 34 is hydrophilic. In the LEF-1–DNA complex, Ser34, together with Asn38, hydrogen bonds to both base pairs of an ApA base step, thus contributing to the specificity of binding. In the SRY–DNA complex, the DNA is too short to determine whether the HMG domain makes similar interactions in this region. By contrast, in non-sequence-specific domains, residue 34 is invariably hydrophobic and is postulated to intercalate two base steps from the site of intercalation of Met13 [16]. This prediction has been verified for Phe34 of the A domain of HMG1 binding to cis-platinated DNA [14••] and for Val34 of HMG-D binding to bulged DNA in solution [18•]. In the latter case, there is also evidence that Thr35 intercalates at the same step, although the corresponding residue in the A domain (Ser35) does not contact DNA [14••,18•]. A different mode of interaction has been proposed for Phe34 of NHP6A. In free NHP6A, Phe34 extends the hydrophobic patch comprising Leu9, Tyr12, Met13 and Ala16 [16] on the concave surface of the protein. Allain et al. [17••] propose that this orientation is maintained in the complex, so that Phe34 would be inserted in the minor groove almost perpendicular to the edge of two base pairs. This insertion, which strictly is not an intercalation, would force apart the base pairs and induce a bend at the base step adjacent to that intercalated by Met13, but should not seriously compromise minor groove binding.

The sequence selectivity of the transcription factor HMG domains may also be dependent on a minor groove interaction of a tyrosine residue in an extended region distal to helix III. In LEF-1, this residue (Tyr77) penetrates deep into the minor groove and contacts guanine and thymine bases in a C/T base step. In the non-sequence-specific HMG domains, helix III is usually longer and there is no corresponding tyrosine residue.

These studies reveal that differences in the specificity and bending properties of the HMG domains depend on changes in only a few residues. Functional versatility is accomplished by amino acid variation, but with little accompanying variation in the overall configuration of the fold.

DNA binding and bending

Estimates of the extent of bending induced by the binding of a single HMG domain vary substantially according
to the method used. For sequence-specific domains, bend angles were determined for the solution structures of LEF-1–DNA and SRY–DNA complexes, respectively [5,7]. These values compare with 80° and 75° for similar complexes, as determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [23,24]. In contrast, the extent of bending induced by the HMG domains of the abundant chromosomal HMG proteins is uncertain and has been complicated by the lack of sequence-specific binding. Recently, however, several studies have determined the deformation induced by these proteins or their isolated HMG domains by measuring the induced decrease in the end-to-end distance of a short DNA fragment upon protein binding using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). Lorenz et al. [25•] showed that the binding of yeast NHP6A, Drosophila HMG-D and HMG-Z, and Chironomus chHMG1a to an 18 base pair DNA fragment with one dA2 bulge all resulted in a conformational change in the DNA structure consistent with a total bend angle of 95 ± 5°. The removal of the C-terminal acidic stretch from the last three proteins did not change the bend angle. In good agreement with this value, using the same technique with a slightly different assumed Rg value (45 Å compared with 50 Å), Jamieson et al. [26•] determined a bend angle of approximately 90° for the complex of the B domain of HMG1 with cis-platinated DNA. In contrast to these measured and calculated bending angles, however, Heyer et al. [27] reported that a single molecule of chHMG1a induces a bend of about 150°. Using a linear 30 base pair DNA sequence, they determined a decrease in the dye-to-dye distance upon binding chHMG1a from 100 Å (free, linear DNA) to 50.5 Å (bound DNA) using FRET. This corresponds to an induced bend angle of 150°, assuming that a single HMG domain untwists the DNA, whereas if two HMG molecules are bound, the bend could occupy a number of different positions, of Phe34 to alanine in NHP6A reduces its affinity for linear DNA fragment containing two dA2 bulges [25•]. Taken together, these data indicate that the bend angles induced by the B-type HMG domains, including the sequence-specific domains, are all very similar (falling in the narrow range of 90 to 120°). The value of approximately 95° determined by FRET for the binding of a single HMG domain to prebent DNA complexes with values of 120–135° and at least 70° calculated from the extent of circularisation of short duplex DNA fragments by NHP6A [13] and HMG-D [29], respectively, is consistent with a total bend angle of 95 ± 5°. This is consistent with previous observations showing that the A domain is less effective at circularising an 88 base pair DNA fragment than the B domain [30]. The smaller bend angle in the HMG1 domain cis-platinum DNA complex relative to the HMG1 domain B–cis-platinum DNA complex [14••] can be ascribed to the lack of a second intercalating residue corresponding to Met13 in HMG-D (the corresponding residue in the A domain is alanine).

**Bending determinants**

The structural data have identified two major sites of bending induced by each of the B-type HMG domains. For the non-sequence-specific class, bending is induced principally by the partial intercalation of residue 13 in helix I and also by residues in the distal part of helix I–helix II loop (34/35 in HMG-D and 34 in NHP6A). The sequence-specific class of HMG domain retains partial intercalation by residue 13 and, even though it lacks a hydrophobic residue at position 34, the LEF-1 domain still induces a bend at the corresponding base step [5], implying that structural complementarity between this site and the minor groove may in itself contribute to bending. In addition, however, the interaction of the DNA with the tyrosine residue distal to helix III is important for maximal DNA bending [5]. There is already substantial evidence that the mutation of Leu13 in SRY results in diminished bending [31] and Yen et al. [13] have also demonstrated that Met13 of NHP6A is essential for 75 base pair, but not for 98 base pair, circle formation. The 98 base pair circles are still smaller than those formed without the protein, however, suggesting the presence of another bending locus. Indeed, mutation of Phe34 to alanine in NHP6A reduces its affinity for linear DNA by only twofold, but substantially impairs DNA circularisation [17••]. By contrast, corresponding mutations of either Val34 or Thr35 of HMG-D reduce affinity for DNA by 5–10-fold [18•]; however, only the T35A mutant protein is substantially impaired in circularisation. More surprisingly, the mutation of Val34 to phenylalanine, while having little effect on affinity, causes defective circularisation to a similar extent to the Thr35Ala mutation. These data indicate that Thr35, but not Val34, is a critical residue for DNA bending, although both are important for binding. The differential effects of
the Val34Phe and Val34Ala mutations on DNA bending and binding also show that these two functions are at least partially separable.

Token together, these observations emphasise the importance of the region in the immediate vicinity of the N terminus of helix II for DNA bending by HMG domains structurally homologous to the B domain of HMGI and accord well with the results of structural studies and modelling, and, in particular, with the deep penetration of HMGI-D Val34 and Thr35 into the minor groove [18•]. The striking functional differences between proteins with valine and phenylalanine at position 34 are consistent with the suggestion that Val34 may intercalate in a base step together with Thr35, whereas Phe34 abuts against the edges of two base pairs. This different mode of interaction with DNA could explain why the Val34Phe mutant of HMGI-D is defective in circularisation, yet binds to linear DNA fragments with comparable affinity to the wild-type protein.

The structural basis of the introduction of a substantial DNA bend by an HMG domain is, in principle, similar to that observed for the TBP [32–34]. In both cases, the minor groove is widened by an extensive hydrophobic protein surface binding within the groove. This widening itself induces a positive roll angle, but the major contribution to the resultant bend is a consequence of kinks introduced by the partial intercalation of a hydrophobic residue at one or two base steps. The bacterial HII heterodimer, which is a homologue of HU (the prokaryotic functional equivalent of the eukaryotic HMGI/2 class of proteins), also bends DNA by 180°, again by kinking the bound DNA by partial intercalation at two sites separated by eight base pairs.

Role of basic extensions

In the LEF-1–DNA complex, the basic C-terminal extension of the HMG domain is located in the compressed major groove at the free end of a DNA helix and was postulated to stabilise the induced bend by charge neutralisation. This suggestion is consistent with many subsequent observations [12,23]. A similar location for the basic N-terminal extension of NHP6A was also observed [17•]. In this structure, a bend in helix III of the HMG domain serves to direct the basic region proximal to the N-terminal strand of the domain into the major groove. An analogous function has been suggested for the bend in helix III of HMGI-D [18•].

Structural basis for the recognition of distorted DNA

The high affinity of HMGI domains for distorted DNA structures is exhibited not only by the sequence-specific domains, but also by domains homologous to both the A and B domains of HMGI1. Early models for the binding of the HMGI domain to such DNA structures, including cis-platinated DNA [24,33], four-way junctions [36] and DNA containing a disulphide cross-link between the 5′ adenosines of a 5′-AATT-3′ region in complementary strands of DNA [37], were based on the assumption that the DNA bend fits into the angle of the L-shaped surface of the protein. That is, the residue corresponding to Met13 in HMGI-D intercalates either at the centre of the pre-existing bend or in the centre of the cross-over in a four-way junction. More recently, however, Pohler et al. [38] demonstrated that both sequence-specific and non-sequence-specific HMGI domains bind to the extended, rather than the stacked X, form of four-way junctions and suggested, on the basis of molecular modelling, that the loop between helices I and II was located on the minor groove surface of the junction. This general location has been confirmed by a footprinting study by Webb and Thomas [19••], although the detailed interactions proposed by the latter authors differ from those of Pohler et al. [38]. Similarly, a footprinting study by Payet et al. [18•] on the binding of HMGI-D to a dA4-bulged DNA fragment demonstrated that the main protection from hydroxyl radical cleavage lies on one side of the bulge. These authors concluded that the HMG domain of HMGI-D binds asymmetrically with respect to the bulge. All these later studies [18•,38,39••] implicate the residues immediately preceding the N terminus of helix II as the region interacting with the DNA distortion.

These conclusions are fully consistent with two recent definitive studies of the structures of complexes of HMGI domains with cis-platinated DNA [14••,26•]. The crystal structure of a complex between the A domain of HMGI1 and cis-platinated DNA [14••] shows that the A domain binds to one side of the cis-platinum lesion in an asymmetric manner to that deduced for the HMGI domain of HMGI-D binding to a DNA bulge. Also, Phe34, corresponding to Val34 in HMGI-D, is shown to partially intercalate between the platinum cross-linked GpG site, thus increasing the roll angle of this step. Using footprinting data, Webb and Thomas [39••] have modelled the binding of HMGI1 and the A domain alone to four-way junctions. The A domain confers on HMGI1 its high selectivity for four-way junctions and, in the model, is inserted into the central hole on the minor groove side of the junction itself, whereas the B domain binds to one of the extended arms. The high selectivity of the A domain for four-way junctions in particular is postulated to be dependent both on the stacking of Phe34 against an exposed base pair at the end of one arm and on the greater positive charge in this region of the A domain relative to other domains. This latter feature could facilitate the insertion of the domain into the distorted structure and stabilise the resulting complex. This characteristic could explain the higher affinity of the A domain relative to B domain for four-way junctions.

Mutational studies reinforce the conclusion that the determinants of structural DNA recognition may reside in a different part of the domain from the primary intercalating residues and that the region immediately
The feature of distorted DNA targeted by HMG proteins is a ‘hole’ in the DNA structure introduced by the distortion, as exemplified by the cross-over itself in four-way junctions [38,39••,41] and by the wide and deep minor grooves created by the treatment of DNA by the bacteriophage T4 endonuclease VII [50] and the bacteriophage T4 endonuclease X [51]. The proposed mechanisms of recognition for both classes of protein are very similar, involving the interaction of an exposed hydrophobic residue, phenylalanine in the helix I–II loop of the A domain of HMG1 and proline in the turn joining the two DNA-binding B strands of each HU monomer. Biologica

logical functions for HMG domain proteins

HMG DNA binding domains can bend DNA substantially and bind with high affinity to distorted DNA structures. What is the biological relevance of these properties? The pleiotropic phenotype of an HMG knockout mouse [45•] provides little insight into this question. One postulated role for DNA bending is to promote contact between proteins bound at relatively distant sites on the DNA, thus facilitating the assembly of complex nucleoprotein structures [44]. There is substantial evidence for such a role for the sequence-specific HMG domain proteins. Recently, evidence has been presented for the facilitation of the RAG1-mediated V-D-J recombination reaction by mammalian HMG1 [45–47]. HMG1 is required for the formation of the small DNA loop between the sites of recombination and is recruited by the homeodomain of RAG1. This function has an analogy in bacteria, in which HU is required for invertasome formation between the bound His invertase and FIS bound to an inversion enhancer 100 base pairs distant. HMG domains have a higher affinity for distorted DNA structures, such as four-way junctions, than for most other DNA ligands (except microcircles) [12]. What are the potential natural distorted ligands? The HMG domain binds preferentially to the open, rather than the biologically active stacked X, form of four-way junctions and neither DNA bulges nor cis-platinated DNA are of frequent occurrence in natural DNA. The gapped DNA structures arising from the action of DNA glycosylases on damaged DNA are very similar to DNA bulges, however, and could be expected to bind HMG domains with high affinity. If so, one potential role for the chromosomal HMG protein could be to protect the lesions introduced by the glycosylases and to perhaps provide a suitable DNA binding surface for the nucleotide excision repair chaperone protein XRCC1 [48].

Conclusions

During the past year, two major questions regarding the interaction of HMG domains with DNA have been largely answered — by how much does the HMG domains of the abundant HMG1 class of chromosomal proteins bend DNA and what are the determinants of the preferential recognition of distorted DNA structures? FRET measurements indicate that the DNA bend angle in complexes of prebent DNA (cis-platinated and bulged DNA) with domains structurally homologous to the B domain of HMG1 is close to 100° and is relatively independent of the particular domain studied. By contrast, the bend angle in complexes of cis-platinated DNA with the A domain is 61°. The difference in the bend angle induced by these two types of domain correlates with the structural observation that B domains partially intercalate into the DNA at two base steps, whereas the A domain, at least in the published structure, partially intercalates at only a single step. This latter partial intercalation is mediated by residue 34, which has also been invoked as a determinant of the preferential recognition of DNA bulges and may play a role in the recognition of DNA structures. One of the main determinants of sequence-dependent DNA recognition by the HMG-domain transcription factors and the lack of recognition of specific DNA sequences by the HMG domains of the HMG1 class is believed to be residue 10, which is asparaginase in the former and serine in the latter. Although the correlation with recognition properties is persuasive, the molecular basis for the discrimination needs to be definitively established (but see Note added in proof).

Note added in proof

Since the submission of this review, the crystal structure, at 2.2 Å resolution, of a complex of the HMG domain of HMG-D with an 11 base pair linear DNA fragment has been published [49••].
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